212 MOTHER-KIN AND MOTHER GODDESSES BOOK in

But worship is indeed so improbable in Itself, and so contrary tea'soM*11 to experience, that it scarcely deserves the serious attention fact, which which it appears received.1 But when we have havefeijed brushed aside these cobwebs, as we must do, we are still left face to face with the solid fact of to modify the wide prevalence of ofthe llglon mother-kin, that is, of a social system which traces descent peoples and transmits property through women and not through tLe°itP1'1C That a social system so men. widely spread and so deeply rooted should have affected the religion of the peoples who practise it, may reasonably be inferred, especially when we remember that in primitive communities the social relations of the gods commonly reflect the social relations of their worshippers. How the system of motherkin may mould religious ideas and customs, creating goddesses and assigning at least a nominal superiority to priestesses over priests, is shown with perfect lucidity by the example of the Khasis. and hardly less clearly by the example of the Pelew Íslanders. It cannot therefore be rash to hold that what the system has certainly done for these peoples, it may well have done for many more. But unfortunately through lack of documentary evidence we are seldom able to trace its influence so clearly.

vj 3. Mother-Kin and Mother Goddesses in the Ancient East

Mother- While the combination of mother-kin in society with a mother^ preference for goddesses in religion is to be found as a matter

goddesses of fact among the Khasis and Pelew Islanders of to-day, the Asia. 05 Un former prevalence of mother-kin in the lands where the great goddesses Astarte and Cybele were worshipped is a matter of inference only. In later times fatherkin had certainly displaced mother-kin among Semitic worshippers Astarte, and probably the same change had taken place among the Phrygian worshippers of Cybele. Yet the older

¹ The theory, or at all events the sckaft^ii. (1904) pp. 70-94; his Cults of latter part of it, has been carefully the Greek States (Oxford, 1896-1909), examined by Dr. L. R. Farnell; and in. 109 sqq*j and The Hibbert Journal, if, as I apprehend, he rejects it, I April 1907, p. 690. But I differ from agree with him. See his article him, it seems, in thinking that mother. "Sociological Hypotheses concerning kin is favourable to the growth of the position of Women in Ancient mother goddesses. Religion," Arckiv für Rdigionswissen-